## **ITRS Perspectives on DSA** July 11, 2012

#### Michael Garner – ITRS Emerging Research Materials Co-Chair Garner Nanotechnology Solutions



### **Purpose of the ITRS**

- The ITRS serves as a guideline for the global industry for a 15-year outlook on projected technology needs and opportunities for innovation.
- The ITRS is a pre-competitive instrument, devised and intended for technology assessment only and is without regard to any commercial considerations pertaining to individual products or equipment.





### Background

- 2006: Critical capabilities identified to researchers
- 2007: Emerging Research Materials identified DSA as a potential technology o extend lithography
- 2009: Progress made on most capabilities except defect density
- 2011: SPIE reports of reduced defect density



Page 4

### **2006 Challenges to DSA Researchers**

- Demonstrate LWR of 1.1 nm  $3\sigma$  by 2013
- Determine sub-lithographic limits
- Fabricate essential features
- Multiple sizes and pitches in the same layer
- Foundational understanding of defectivity mechanisms
- Path to meet ITRS alignment and registration requirements
- Anneal times that are competitive with projected ITRS throughput requirements
- Demonstrate sub-10 nm pattern formation
- Demonstrate competitive etch resistance
- Compatibility with Si processing



### **2007 Progress on Basic Structures**



Page 6

### **Directed Self Assembly**



Density Multiplication L<sub>s</sub>=78nm; L<sub>s</sub>=39nm



- Block Co-polymer self assembly may extend lithography
- Rectification to improve control CD & LER
- Density multiplication for high density smaller features Page 7

### **Defect Density Improvement**

- Modeling and simulation of defect formation energetics
- 2010 DSA eliminates dislocations
- 2011:Low Defect Density <25cm<sup>-2</sup> demonstrated by IBM & Applied Materials



## 2012 SPIE DSA Brainstorming Session (Sematech/ITRS)

- Goal: bring the stakeholders together onto a common platform to:
  - 1. Identify the pre-competitive issues in DSA
  - 2. Rank the pre competitive issues in order of priority
  - 3. Identify Institutions/consortia which should engage on these issues
    - I. Research Consortia (e.g. SRC)
    - II. Manufacturing Consortia (e.g. SEMATECH, IMEC, MIRAI etc.)
    - III. Gov't Labs & Standards Orgs (e.g. NIST, AIST, etc.)
- Participants : 39 participants from:
  - Intel, GLOBALFOUNDRIES, IBM, Toshiba, Hitachi, Micron, Hynix, IMEC, AMAT, Brewer Science, AZ, TEL, JST, TOK, DOW, Cadence, Synposys, Mentor Graphics, Standford University, NIST, KLA-Tencor, Georgia Tech,
  - 5 teams for brainstorming sessions
- A survey was conducted prior to the session:
  - What areas in DSA are precompetitive?
  - What precompetitive areas consortia such as SEMATECH should work on?



# Pre-Meeting Survey results: Is the issue precompetitive?



- 75% Yes: Defects, Design Tools, Material Specs
- Metrology omitted on the survey...

Page 10



### Pre-meeting Survey results: Should Consortia Work on the Issue?



**Consortia: Research Consortia or Manufacturing Consortia** 

 High Agreement: Defects, Material & Performance Specs Etch & Modeling

Page 11

## Summary of the outcome

- Main precompetitive areas that consortia should work on ( <u>common to all teams</u>):
  - Defects (definitions/characterization/measurements)
  - Simulations
  - Metrology (2D and 3D)
  - Registration
  - Design Tools
- Other areas that consortia should work on:
  - New block co-polymers with high  $\chi$
  - Electrical test design
  - Prediction/modification of surface energy to create new morphology



## **ITRS Gathering Input on DSA**

- Could applications of DSA be implemented in 3-5 years?
- Does industry have plans to evaluate specific applications?
- Conducted a non-scientific poll of the "community"
- Poll of the views of individuals
- Participants identified their sector without validation
- Not Corporate Positions!!!

Page 13



### **Potential DSA Litho Applications**



LER Rectification to reduce LER and improve line CD control

July 11, 2012

- Contact/Via Rectification to reduce size and improve CD control
- Density multiplication for high density smaller features
  Page 14
  Sokudo Semicon West Seminar



### **Survey on DSA**



- Contact rectification and pattern density multiplication had the highest support
- Most industry responders had plans to evaluate DSA Page 15

### Summary

- DSA has made significant progress
- Consortia role has been identified
- Industry engineers indicate that they plan to evaluate DSA
  - Contact and via rectification
  - Pattern density multiplication
  - LER/LWR improvement
- Significant challenges must be overcome
  - Engineering vs. Fundamental

Page 16





### **Acknowledgements**

- Sematech for funding the SPIE "Rump" Session on DSA
- **ITRS Members** 
  - Dan Herr, Former ERM Co-Chair
  - ERM Team

